

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,573	09/15/2003	Donald R. Parris	C0010A	9737
21495 75	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING C	ABLE SYSTEMS LLO	DUVERNE, JEAN F		
P O BOX 489			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HICKORY, N	C 28603		AKTOM	TATER NOMBER
			2839	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/662,573	PARRIS, DONALD R.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jean F. Duverne	2839	12
A SHO THE I Exter after If the If NO Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is is is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from h, cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowards of the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is
	on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 40	33 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>44-69</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>60-64</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>44.52,53,56,57,59,65 and 69</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>45-51,54,55,58 and 66-68</u> is/are objection(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ejected. ected to.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureacee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage)
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,573

🖔 Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 44, 52-53, 56-57, 59, 65, 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gould et al (US006382845B1).

Gould's device discloses a fiber optic tube assembly, comprising: a tube or a housing (52, 54), wherein the at least optical fiber (20 or 22) is disposed with the tube; and least one material, the at least one strip of material or a plate portion (56) acting as frictional agent or retainer to increase the force required for pulling the at least optical fiber out of the tube. However, Gould's device fails to disclose the shore A hardness, the degree of melting point, the tensile modulus or in another word the material type of which the strip is made of. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use certain material type, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use certain material type in order to meet the system design and requirement in Gould's device.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,573
 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 45-5, 54-55, 58, 66-68 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art fails to disclose the combination features the optical tube with the strip with thermoplastic molecules that have bonded to form a three dimensional network substantially throughout; the strip material being continuous along the length of the tube with the rest of the claims limitations.
- 3. Claims 60-64 are allowed (see the aforementioned allowable subject matter).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-7:30, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,573 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFD

9/17/2004

Jean Frantz Duverne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2839